# Kentucky

# UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2018 BEHAVIORAL HEALTH REPORT COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/07/2017 - Expires (generated on 12/01/2017 6.02.51 PM)

Center for Mental Health Services Division of State and Community Systems Development

# I: State Information

## State Information

State DUNS Numb	927049767
Expiration Date	
•	
I. State Agency to Agency Name	be the Grantee for the Block Grant Cabinet for Health and Family Services
Organizational Unit	Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities
Mailing Address	275 East Main Street 4W-G
City	Frankfort
Zip Code	40621
II. Contact Person First Name	for the Grantee of the Block Grant Michele
Last Name	Blevins
Agency Name	Department for Behavioral Health, Development, and Intellectual Disabilities
Mailing Address	275 East Main Street 4W-G
City	Frankfort
Zip Code	40621
Telephone	502-782-6150
Fax	502-564-4826
Email Address	michele.blevins@ky.gov
III. State Expendito	ure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out) 7/1/2016
То	6/30/2017
IV. Date Submitte	d
NOTE: This field will be au	tomatically populated when the application is submitted.
Submission Date	12/1/2017 6:02:38 PM
Revision Date	
V. Contact Person	Responsible for Report Submission
First Name	Michele
Last Name	Blevins
Telephone	502-782-6150
Fax	502-564-4826
Email Address	michele.blevins@ky.gov
Footnotes:	

# **II: Annual Report**

## MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #:	1
Priority Area:	Adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI)
Priority Type:	MHS
Population(s):	SMI

Goal of the priority area:

Increase access to evidence based practices for Adults with SMI

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

CMHCs are required by contract to employ Peer Support Specialists to serve Adults with SMI and to develop ACT teams. Peer Specialists are also required as designated members of ACT teams.

Each of the 14 CMHCs is required by contract to have at least one fully staffed ACT team.

Provide training and technical assistance to ensure that CMHCs understand how to provide and bill for ACT services.

Provide training and Technical Assistance and fidelity monitoring to ensure most effective implementation of ACT services.

Continue to provide awareness activities and training regarding Recovery Principles and the importance of including Peers in the service delivery array. Provide training for how to most effectively supervise the work of Peer Specialists.

#### –Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Peer Services for Adults with SMI who meet criteria for the service
Baseline Measurement:	Total number of Adults with SMI who received Peer Services, from the 14 CMHCs, in SFY 2015.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 2.5% the total number of Adults with SMI who receive Peer Services, from the 14 CMHCs, from SFY 2015 to SFY 2016.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 2.5% the total number of Adults with SMI who receive Peer Services, from the 14 CMHCs, from SFY 2016 to SFY 2017.

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

## Data Source:

MIS data set used by the Department and the 14 CMHCs

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

First Year Target:

Data report to show the total number of unduplicated Adults with SMI served by the 14 CMHCs, who receive the identified service of Peer Support, in SFY (July 1-June 30).

#### New Description of Data:(if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Department will also keep track of the number of Peer Specialists employed by the CMHCs to use for comparative analysis.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

## **Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment**

Achieved

🗆 Not A

□ Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved <i>(optional)</i>	
compared to	d) received a Peer Support service (count includes individual PS and not group PS) as
SFY 2015: 1,157 adults with SMI (unduplicated Thus, the goal of 2.5% (or 29 adults with SMI)	d) received a Peer Support service(count includes individual PS and not group PS). between 2015 and 2016 was exceeded.
Second Year Target: Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How second year target was achieved (option	nal):
	ed) received a Peer Support service (count includes individual PS, not group PS).
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) services for Adults with SMI who meet criteria for the service
Baseline Measurement:	Total number of Adults with SMI who receive ACT services from the 14 CMHCs in SFY 2015
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 2.5% the total number of Adults with SMI who receive ACT services from the 14 CMHCs from SFY 2015 to SFY 2016.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 2.5% the total number of Adults with SMI who receive ACT services from the 14 CMHCs from SFY2016 to SFY 2017.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
MIS data set used by the Department and th	e 14 CMHCs
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Data report to show the total number of unc ACT in the SFY (July 1-June 30)	duplicated Adults with SMI, served by the 14 CMHCs, who received the identified service of
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
Department will also track the number of AC	T teams in operation through the CMHCs to use for comparative analysis
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Goa	al Attainment
First Year Target: CAchiev	Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
2.5% of SFY 2015 would be 6 individuals. Far e	d with ACT as a service. In SFY 2016, 350 adults with SMI were served with ACT as a service. exceeded the 2.5% increase.
Second Year Target: Achiev	Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How second year target was achieved (option	nal):
In SFY 2017, 436 adults with SMI (unduplicate	ed) received an ACT service.
Data source=CMHC/IPOP Service Mix Utilizat	tion Report

Priority #:	2
Priority Area:	Children and Youth with Severe Emotional Disabilities (SED)
Priority Type:	MHS
Population(s):	SED
Goal of the priority	area:
<b>.</b> .	

Increase access to evidence based practices for Children/Youth with SED

## Strategies to attain the goal:

Recruit and train high fidelity wraparound facilitators and their supervisors Recruit and train youth peer specialists Ensure there is a formalized process in place to train, certify and track SED Targeted Case Managers and HFW facilitators

Ensure there is a formalized process in place to train, certify and track Youth Peer Specialists

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Increase in the total number of DBHDID Certified HFW facilitators/supervisors to serve children/youth with SED from SFY 2015 to SFY 2017
Baseline Measurement:	Total number of DBHDID Certified HFW facilitators/supervisors in SFY 2015 =0
First-year target/outcome measurement:	At least 50 unduplicated HFW facilitators/supervisors shall be trained and certified in SFY 2016
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	At least 50 additional, unduplicated, HFW facilitators/supervisors shall be trained and certified in SFY 2017

#### Data Source:

DBHDID Certification Data Base

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

Provider entities must obtain approval of training curricula or receive training through DBHDID. DBHDID is in the process of creating an on-line data base in SFY 2016 to track the training and certification of non-licensed service providers (TCM, PS, Community Support Associates)

## New Description of Data:(if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

All new tracking system

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress	Toward Goal Attainme	ent
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved</i> , <i>explain why</i> )
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes proposed	to meet target:
How first year target was ac At the end or SFY 2016, there	<b>hieved (<i>optional</i>):</b> e were 14 HFW Supervisors and 2	28 HFW Facilitators statewide.
Second Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes proposed	to meet target:

## How second year target was achieved (optional):

In SFY 2017, there were 118 individuals that participated in training, of which 51 were supervisors. These were in addition to those trained in SFY 2016.

In SFY 2016, there were 117 individuals that participated in training of which 37 were supervisors. Information above for year one is incorrect but could not be changed in the report.

Indicator:	Increase in the total number of DBHDID Certified Youth Peer Specialists to serve children/youth with SED from SFY 2015 to SFY 2017
Baseline Measurement:	Total number of DBHDID Certified Youth Peer Specialists in SFY 2015 =8
First-year target/outcome measurement	At least 10 Youth Peer Specialists shall be trained and certified in SFY 2016
Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent: At least 10 additional Youth Peer Specialists shall be trained and certified in SFY 2017
New Second-year target/outcome meas	urement( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
DBHDID Certification Data Base	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	of training curricula or receive training through DBHDID. DBHDID is in the process of creating an ne training and certification of non-licensed service providers (TCM, PS, Community Support
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system	
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out	:ome measures:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward	:ome measures:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target:	Come measures: Goal Attainment chieved I Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, ar How first year target was achieved (opti In SFY 2016, there were 33 Youth Peer Su	come measures: Goal Attainment chieved Interved (if not achieved, explain why) d changes proposed to meet target:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, an How first year target was achieved (opti In SFY 2016, there were 33 Youth Peer Su SFY 2015	chieved Intervent and the changes proposed to meet target:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, an How first year target was achieved (opti In SFY 2016, there were 33 Youth Peer Su SFY 2015 Second Year Target:	come measures:         Goal Attainment         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:         onal):         oport Specialist ("Certified") as compared to 8 YPSS in         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, an How first year target was achieved (opti In SFY 2016, there were 33 Youth Peer Su SFY 2015 Second Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, an Reason why target was not achieved, an	come measures:         Goal Attainment         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:         ponal):         opport Specialist ("Certified") as compared to 8 YPSS in         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome All new tracking system New Data issues/caveats that affect out Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, ar How first year target was achieved (opti In SFY 2016, there were 33 Youth Peer Su SFY 2015 Second Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, ar How second year target was achieved (optication)	come measures:         Goal Attainment         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:         ponal):         opport Specialist ("Certified") as compared to 8 YPSS in         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:         chieved       Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)         d changes proposed to meet target:

Priority #:	3
Priority Area:	Primary Prevention Substance Abuse
Priority Type:	SAP
Population(s):	PP

#### Goal of the priority area:

Reduce the incidence of Underage Drinking

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

Educate parents about 'host parties" and the negative physiological effects of alcohol consumption by adolescents. Work to establish Social Host Ordinances. Implement Strategies such as " I Won't be the One" to reduce underage use social access to alcohol. Improve early prevention screening and assessment of adolescents in school settings

— <b>A</b>	Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success	
	Indicator #:	1
	Indicator:	Numer of 10th graders who report drinking alcohol in the past 30 days
	Baseline Measurement:	2014 Survey results indicate 21% of 10th graders that answered at least once they have had an alcoholic beverage in the past 30 days
	First-year target/outcome measurement:	N/A Survey is only conducted every two years
	Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Decrease by 2% the number of 10th graders that answered at least once they have had an alcoholic beverage in the past 30 days

#### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### Data Source:

Kentucky Incentives for Prevention (KIP) Survey 2016

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The KIP survey provides information about student self-reported use of substances (e.g., within the last 30 days, last year), student perceptions about substance use (e.g., level of risk, peer and parent disapproval), and perceived accessibility of substances in the community. The 2014 survey includes the addition of several new questions related to heroin use, bullying, dating violence, and suicidal ideation. Once the survey data are gathered and analyzed, each participating school district receives a report outlining district-specific results, and depicting comparisons to the region, state and (when available) the rest of country. The KIP survey is Kentucky's largest source of data related to student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD), as well as a number of factors related to potential substance abuse. In 2014, over 124,000 students representing 159 school districts (of the state's 173) completed the survey, and the information gathered provided an invaluable substance abuse prevention tool for those

communities. Districts utilize their KIP results extensively for grant-writing purposes, prevention activities, and various other needs related to program planning.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Results of KIP survey conducted in 2016 are available in 2017

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Reason why target was not achieve	d and changes proposed to me	
	a, and changes proposed to me	et target:
How first year target was achieved Used 2014 KIP Survey results for this		viannual. 2016 Survey results not available until February 2017.
Second Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not achieve	d, and changes proposed to me	et target:

the nearest whole number the 2% target was achieved.

Printed: 12/1/2017 6:02 PM - Kentucky - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires:

## Priority #:

4

Priority Area:Pregnant Women/Women with Dependent Children (Adolescents and Adults) with Substance Use DisordersPriority Type:SATPopulation(s):PWWDC

## Goal of the priority area:

Increase access to treatment for Pregnant/Post Partum and Women with Dependent Children who have Substance Use Disorders (SUDs)

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

Outreach to referral sources for women with SUDs (e.g., primary care, pediatricians, OB/GYNs, emergency rooms, law enforcement, etc.)

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Increase by 10% the total number of unduplicated PWWDC who receive Case Management services from the 14 CMHCs from SFY 2015 to SFY 2017
Baseline Measurement:	The total number of unduplicated PWWDC who received Case Management services from the 14 CMHCs in SFY 2015
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 5% the total number of unduplicated PWWDC who received Case Management services from the 14 CMHCs from SFY 2015 to SFY 2016
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 5% the total number of unduplicated PWWDC who received Case Management services from the 14 CMHCs from SFY 2016 to SFY 2017
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
MIS data set used by the Department and th Research	e 14 CMHCs and additional Data Analysis provided by the Center for Drug and Alcohol
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	of PWWDC served who meet the demographics for PWWDC and received case
management services from the CMHCs in eac	ch SFY
management services from the CMHCs in each New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )	ch SFY
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
_	
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Description of Data:(if needed)	sures:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	sures: measures:
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures: measures: al Attainment
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target:	sures: measures: al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures: measures: al Attainment red  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

## How second year target was achieved (optional):

	-				
y #:					
y Area:	Intravenous Drug Users				
у Туре:	: SAT				
ation(s):	IVDUs				
of the priority	area:				
ibute addition	al Narcan Rescue Kits in effort to	reduce deaths of IV Drug Users.			
gies to attain	the goal:				
	-	ther areas of the state and to first responders and others as funding allows al public about the availability and effectiveness of Naloxone in the event of an overdose.			
nnual Perfo	rmance Indicators to measu	re goal success			
Indicator #:		1			
Indicator:		Total number of Narcan (Naloxone) kits distributed to hospitals or elsewhere in the SFY			
Baseline Me	asurement:	Two thousand kits were distributed to three hospitals (UK,U of L and St. Elizabeth) in SFY 2015			
First-year ta	rget/outcome measurement:	At least 100 additional kits will be distributed in SFY 2016			
Second-yea	r target/outcome measurement:	At least 100 additional kits will be distributed in SFY 2017			
New Second	I-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):			
Data Source	Data Source:				
The Substa	nce Abuse Treatment Advisory Co	ommittee (SATAC) who is charged with tracking distribution			
New Data S	ource(if needed):				
Description	of Data:				
		stributed through the KYKIDS Recovery project			
New Descrip	otion of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:					
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:					
Report o	of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment			
First Year	Farget: Carget	ved Dot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why	target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:			
	ar target was achieved (optional) stributed a total of 1,265 Naloxon				
-					
-	ar Target: 🛛 🗹 Achiev	ved Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved,explain why</i> )			
Kentucky dis Second Ye	ar Target: C Achiev				

Printed: 12/1/2017 6:02 PM - Kentucky - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires:

Priority #:	6		
Fliolity #.	0		
Priority Area:	Individuals who recieve SUD services and have or are risk for TB		
Priority Type:	SAT		
Population(s):	ТВ		
Goal of the priority	area:		
Improve data collection of individuals with or at risk of TB who receive services for SUDs			

## Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue partnering with the KY Department for Public Health and the CMHCs to improve data collection definitions and screening protocol. Ensure that CMHCs are systematically screening for Tb among individuals receiving services for SUDs.

ndicator #:	1			
ndicator:	Total number of individuals receiving services from the 14 CMHCs for SUDs who are screened for TB or are referred for a TB screen.			
Baseline Measurement:	Total number of individuals screened for TB by CMHCs in SFY 2015, who received services for SUDs.			
irst-year target/outcome measurement:	Ensure at least 60% of the total number of individuals, who received services for SUDs, are screened for TB, by CMHCs in SFY 2016.			
Second-year target/outcome measurement	Ensure at least 70% of the total number of individuals, who received services for SUDs, are screened for TB, by CMHCs in SFY 2017,			
New Second-year target/outcome measure	ment(if needed):			
Data Source:				
MIS data set used by the Department and t	he 14 CMHCs			
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):				
Description of Data:				
Client demographic field for TB				
New Description of Data:(if needed)				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me	asures:			
Data sharing with Public Health will also be	e used for comparative analysis.			
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcon	ne measures:			
Report of Progress Toward Go	oal Attainment			
First Year Target:	eved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and c	hanges proposed to meet target:			
How first year target was achieved (optiona	D:			
Second Year Target:	eved Dot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and c	hanges proposed to meet target:			

Population(s):	Other (Rural, Military Families, Homeless, Underserved Racial and Ethnic Minorities, Adult Men and Women in Recovery from SUDs)
Priority Type:	SAT
Priority Area:	Individuals in Recovery from Substance Use Disorders
Priority #:	7

## Goal of the priority area:

Increase the number of Oxford Houses in Kentucky

## Strategies to attain the goal:

Contract with Oxford House to employ Case Managers and with the KY Housing Corporation to secure revolving funds to support financing of the housing units.

DBHDID staff members will work with national partners to ensure successful operation of the houses.

Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	Open at least two new Oxford Houses in Kentucky between SFY 2015 and SFY 2017.	
Baseline Measurement:	There were four Oxford Houses in KY at the end of SFY 2015.	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the total number of Oxford Houses in KY to 5 by the end of SFY 2016.	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	ncrease the total number of Oxford Houses in KY to 6 by the end of SFY 2017.	
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):	
Data Source:		
DBHDID tracking of Oxford Houses and occu	pancy rates	
New Data Source(if needed):		
Description of Data:		
DBHDID will monitor and ensure tracking of	the opening and successful operation of Oxford Houses in KY.	
• • • •		
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas		
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:	
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target:	measures: al Attainment	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	measures: al Attainment ed Dot Achieved <i>(if not achieved,explain why)</i>	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: ☑ Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional): The Commonwealth, in cooperation with the o	measures: al Attainment ed  Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved,explain why</i> ) Inges proposed to meet target: Dxford House, Inc. had a total of nine houses operational at the end of SFY 2016. This	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Content Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional):	measures: al Attainment ed  Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved,explain why</i> ) Inges proposed to meet target: Dxford House, Inc. had a total of nine houses operational at the end of SFY 2016. This rk year of 2015.	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mease New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: I Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional): The Commonwealth, in cooperation with the or represents an increase of 7 since the benchma Second Year Target: Achieve	measures:         al Attainment         ed <ul> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)</li> </ul> inges proposed to meet target:         Dxford House, Inc. had a total of nine houses operational at the end of SFY 2016. This rk year of 2015.         ed <ul> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)</li> </ul>	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Compared Coa Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional): The Commonwealth, in cooperation with the or represents an increase of 7 since the benchma	measures:         al Attainment         ed <ul> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)</li> </ul> anges proposed to meet target:         Dxford House, Inc. had a total of nine houses operational at the end of SFY 2016. This rk year of 2015.         ed <ul> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)</li> </ul> anges proposed to meet target:	

## Footnotes:



November 16, 2017

Ms. Virginia Simmons Grants Management Officer Office of Financial Resources, Division of Grants Management Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1109 Rockville, MD 20857

Dear Ms. Simmons:

1 am writing on behalf of Kentucky's Behavioral Health Planning and Advisory Council to confirm that Council members have reviewed Kentucky's FY 2018 SAPT and CMHS Block Grant Behavioral Health Report, which reports on the federal funds expended during state fiscal year 2017 and prior. Time was allocated at today's Council meeting to discuss the report, including the data tables required for submission on December 1, 2017. The Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities welcomes recommendations and comments prior to and after submission of the 2017 Behavioral Health Report.

Thank you for the continued support of community-based services for adults and youth with behavioral health disorders. Our Council membership is honored to serve as advisors for planning in Kentucky.

Sincerely,

Gayla Lockhart

Gayla Lockhart Chair, Kentucky Behavioral Health Planning and Advisory Council

Cc: Michele Blevins, Assistant Director, Division of Behavioral Health

# **III: Expenditure Reports**

## MHBG Table 3 - MHBG Expenditures By Service.

## Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2016

Service	Expenditures
Healthcare Home/Physical Health	
Specialized Outpatient Medical Services;	
Acute Primary Care;	
General Health Screens, Tests and Immunizations;	
Comprehensive Care Management;	
Care coordination and Health Promotion;	
Comprehensive Transitional Care;	
Individual and Family Support;	
Referral to Community Services Dissemination;	
Prevention (Including Promotion)	
Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment ;	
Brief Motivational Interviews;	
Screening and Brief Intervention for Tobacco Cessation;	
Parent Training;	
Facilitated Referrals;	
Relapse Prevention/Wellness Recovery Support;	
Warm Line;	
Substance Abuse (Primary Prevention)	
Classroom and/or small group sessions (Education);	
Media campaigns (Information Dissemination);	
Systematic Planning/Coalition and Community Team Building(Community Based Process);	

Parenting and family management (Education);	
Education programs for youth groups (Education);	
Community Service Activities (Alternatives);	
Student Assistance Programs (Problem Identification and Referral);	
Employee Assistance programs (Problem Identification and Referral);	
Community Team Building (Community Based Process);	
Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies (Environmental);	
Engagement Services	\$
Assessment;	
Specialized Evaluations (Psychological and Neurological);	
Service Planning (including crisis planning);	
Consumer/Family Education;	
Outreach;	
Outpatient Services	\$
Evidenced-based Therapies;	
Group Therapy;	
Family Therapy ;	
Multi-family Therapy;	
Consultation to Caregivers;	
Consultation to Caregivers; Medication Services	\$
	\$
Medication Services	\$
Medication Services         Medication Management;         Pharmacotherapy (including MAT);	\$
Medication Services Medication Management;	\$
Medication Services         Medication Management;         Pharmacotherapy (including MAT);         Laboratory services;	

Case Management;	
Behavior Management;	
Supported Employment;	
Permanent Supported Housing;	
Recovery Housing;	
Therapeutic Mentoring;	
Traditional Healing Services;	
Recovery Supports	\$
Peer Support;	
Recovery Support Coaching;	
Recovery Support Center Services;	
Supports for Self-directed Care;	
Other Supports (Habilitative)	\$
Personal Care;	
Homemaker;	
Respite;	
Supported Education;	
Transportation;	
Assisted Living Services;	
Recreational Services;	
Trained Behavioral Health Interpreters;	
Interactive Communication Technology Devices;	
Intensive Support Services	\$
Substance Abuse Intensive Outpatient (IOP);	
Partial Hospital;	

Fotal	\$
Other (please list)	
24/7 Crisis Hotline Services;	
Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient (SA);	
23-hour Observation Bed;	
Jrgent Care;	
Peer-based Crisis Services;	
Mobile Crisis;	
Acute Intensive Services	
Therapeutic Foster Care;	
Youth Substance Abuse Residential Services;	
Adult Mental Health Residential ;	
Clinically Managed Medium Intensity Care (SA) ;	
Clinically Managed 24 Hour Care (SA);	
Crisis Residential/Stabilization;	
Children's Mental Health Residential Services;	
Out-of-Home Residential Services	
ntensive Case Management ;	
Multi-systemic Therapy;	
ntensive Home-based Services;	

## Footnotes:

KY does not gather data in this manner and thus cannot report data for this table. Table is requested and not required, per CMHS.

# **III: Expenditure Reports**

## MHBG Table 4 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

State Expenditures for Mental Health Services			
Actual SFY 2008	Actual SFY 2016	Estimated/Actual SFY 2017	
\$9,483,648	\$9,493,234	\$94,940,021	

States are required to not spend less than the amount expended in Actual SFY 2008. This is a change from the previous year, when the baseline for the state expenditures was 1994.

## Footnotes:

# **III: Expenditure Reports**

## MHBG Table 7 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

Total Expenditures for SMHA			
Period (A)	Expenditures (B)	<u>B1(2015) + B2(2016)</u> 2 (C)	
SFY 2015 (1)	\$20,508,271		
SFY 2016 (2)	\$20,548,229	\$20,528,250	
SFY 2017 (3)	\$20,528,760		

Are the expenditure amounts reported in Column B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved?

SFY 2015	Yes	X No	
SFY 2016	Yes	X No	
SFY 2017	Yes	X No	

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

#### **Footnotes:**

Includes state expenditures on MH Services for SMI and SED.